IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(*Special Original Jurisdiction*)

–

–

MONDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MARCH

TWO THOUSAND AND SIX

P R E S E N T

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

* * *

–

WP.No.4256 OF 2006

Between:

Dudukula Hussain & Anr. … Petitioners

Versus

The District Collector,

Ananthapur,

Ananthapur district & Ors. … Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri SD. Gowd (5490)

Counsel for the Respondent: Govt. Pleader for Revenue

O R D E R :

As against the Proceedings RC.No.B/323/2005 dated 24-2-2006 issued by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Rapthadu, permitting the third respondent to clear the bore well, the petitioner questions the said endorsement on the ground that the third respondent already closed down the bore well in 1994, and therefore, the third respondent is not entitled to get the bore well repaired pursuant to the orders of the Mandal Revenue Officer, Rapathadu of Anantapur district.

I am not able to accept the said contention, as it is not a new bore permitting the third respondent to dug new bore well but old bore well was permitted to clear and repair it. Therefore, the writ petition filed by the petitioner is without any justification, frivolous in nature and to cause harassment to the third respondent.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

MARCH 06th, 2006. ----------

I s L. V. ESWARAIAH , J.